In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 17-0132V
Filed: August 7, 2018
UNPUBLISHED

STEVE HELMS,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Danielle Strait, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Seattle, WA, for petitioner. Sarah Christina Duncan, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On January 30, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a right shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") after receiving an influenza vaccination on October 16, 2015. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit ("SPU") of the Office of Special Masters. On September 18, 2017, the undersigned issued a ruling on entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. Proffer at 1. On October 10, 2017, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 27).

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On April 4, 2018, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 37).³ Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$14,194.30 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$950.03. *Id.* at 1-2. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2. Thus, the total amount requested is \$15,144.33.

The undersigned finds it necessary to reduce the hourly rate of Petitioner's counsel Danielle Strait. Ms. Strait billed at a rate of \$300.00 for 2016 and \$320.00 for 2017, and \$322.00 for 2018. The undersigned finds the rate of \$322.00 for work performed in 2018 reasonable. However, as is consistent with other cases in this program, Ms. Strait's rate is reduced to \$300 per hour for work performed in 2016 and \$307.00 per hour for work performed in 2017.⁴ This results in a **reduction of \$326.00**.

Upon review of the billing records submitted, it appears that a number of entries are for tasks considered clerical or administrative. In the Vaccine Program, secretarial work "should be considered as normal overhead office costs included within the attorneys' fee rates." *Rochester v. U.S.*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Dingle v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 08-579V, 2014 WL 630473, at *4 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 24, 2014). "[B]illing for clerical and other secretarial work is not permitted in the Vaccine Program." *Mostovoy*, 2016 WL 720969, at *5 (citing *Rochester*, 18 Cl. Ct. at 387). A total of 1.20 hours⁵ was billed by paralegals on tasks considered administrative including, receiving retainer agreements, organizing documents, and sending correspondence. For these reasons the undersigned will **reduce the attorney's fees request in the amount of \$172.00**.6

The full amount of attorney costs requested, \$950.03, is awarded.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

³ The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and specifically states that respondent has no objection to petitioner's request. (ECF No. 37).

⁴ The undersigned addressed Ms. Strait's rate for work performed in 2017 and found \$307 was an appropriate hourly rate. *Russell v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 16-1091V at 8 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 17, 2018).

⁵ Examples of these entries include: February 12, 2016 (0.10 hrs) "Review completed retainer packet", July 22, 2016 (0.10) "Update client file with travel correspondence", June 23, 3017 (0.10) "Review case status. Organize file." and December 19, 2017 (0.10 hrs) "Review and send cover letter, entitlement check, copy of decision awarding damages and judgment to client." These entries are merely examples and are not exhaustive.

⁶ This amount consists of 0.20 hours at \$135 per hour and 1.0 hours at \$145 per hour.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$14,646.33⁷ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Danielle Anne Strait. Petitioner requests payment be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 701 5th Ave., Suite 3505, Seattle, WA 98104.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.8

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth DorseyNora Beth DorseyChief Special Master

⁷ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁸ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.